



SPARED – DATA COLLECTION

Core Data Elements

James S Wysock, MD, MS

Assistant Professor

NYU School of Medicine

NYU Urology Associates

NYU Langone Health

Chief, Bellevue Urology
Service



Endpoints of Data Collection

- Standardized assessment aims to provide measurable impact of treatment
- Reliable data collection provides basis for predicting efficacy
- In a clinical trial format, data collection is well-defined and performed on pre-specified time points
- Registry data aims to provide reliable data to allow for treatment assessment
 - Follow up data over long time frame is possible
 - Follow up time points not standardized

Pros/Cons of Registry Data

- Advantages
 - Wider inclusion and exclusion criteria
 - Potentially results in larger patient enrollment
 - May improve detection of less common results
 - Provides basis for assessing changes in treatment over time
 - Mirrors “real world” use
- Disadvantages –
 - Quality of data
 - Less closely monitored
 - Prone to confounders

INDEX Trial – Phase II, Single Arm Trial

- Primary Endpoints -
 - Evaluate medium term oncologic output following HIFU (conversion to surgical management or XRT)
 - Provide data on long term cancer control (mets, mortality) following partial gland ablation
- Secondary Endpoints –
 - Quality of life outcomes (continence, potency)
 - Determine utilization and cost effectiveness
 - Disease control at 5 and 10 years
- Follow up protocol –
 - Post treatment PSA 3, 6, 9, 12, 18 and 24 months
 - MRI and prostate biopsy ~ 12 month after treatment

SPARED Registry Delphi Process

Development of a Nationally Representative Coordinated Registry Network for Prostate Ablation Technologies

Patient Data

- Age
- Race
- Comorbidities
- Primary or salvage prior treatment
- Prostate volume
- Clinical stage
- Pretreatment biopsy results
- Pretreatment PSA
- Pretreatment Gleason score (ISUP Group)
- Number of cores obtained
- Number of positive cores
- Type of biopsy performed
- Pretreatment MRI results
- Baseline HRQOL scores

Patient reported outcomes:

Every patient:

- IPSS
- IIEF-5
- MSHQ-EjD

- Every 4th patient – EPIC – 26

SPARED Registry Delphi Process

Development of a Nationally Representative Coordinated Registry Network for Prostate Ablation Technologies

Patient Characteristics

Age	Pretreatment PSA
Race/ethnicity	Pretreatment biomarkers/genomic tests
Body mass index	Pretreatment clinical stage
Comorbidities:	Pretreatment biopsy information:
ASA physical status score	Type of biopsy performed
Specific comorbidities*	Pretreatment Gleason/ISUP
Baseline HRQOL scores:	Number of positive cores/total cores
IIEF-5	Maximum tumor core length
I-PSS	Pretreatment MRI information:
MSHQ-EjD	Number of lesions
EPIC-26	Location of lesions
Prior treatmentst	PI-RADS score of lesion(s)
Pretreatment prostate volume	Volume of lesion
Prior transurethral resection or incision of prostate or bladder neck	

~ 20-24 data categories

SPARED Registry Delphi Process

~ 8 data categories

Development of a Nationally Representative Coordinated Registry Network for Prostate Ablation Technologies

Treatment Data

- Type of device use (including Unique Device Identifier)
- Targeting imaging modality (US, MRI)
- 6 Distinct Patterns of ablation:
 - Whole Gland
 - Hockey Stick
 - Hemiablation
 - Quadrant
 - Multifocal
 - Focal (index lesion alone)
- Volume of ablation zone
- Treatment margin
- MRI PI RADS v2 segment location
- Ablation time
- Device malfunction or failure during treatment

Treatment Characteristics

Type of device used/UDI

Image guided ablation (ultrasound, MRI)

Volume of ablation zone

PI-RADS version 2 segment location(s)

Margin size

Ablation time

Pattern of ablation:

Whole gland

Hockey stick

Hemiablation

Quadrant

Multifocal (multiple index lesions)

Focal (index lesion alone)

Device malfunction or failure during treatment

SPARED Registry Delphi Process ~ 10 data categories

Development of a Nationally Representative Coordinated Registry Network for Prostate Ablation Technologies

Outcomes Data - Oncologic

- Post Ablation Imaging
 - MRI at 12 months
 - Capture post ablation prostate volume
- Post ablation Prostate biopsy
 - 12 months
 - In field and out of field biopsy
 - Gleason grade
- Progression Free Survival (need for subsequent definitive treatment)
- Post Treatment PSA
- Biomarkers/Genomics

Oncologic Outcomes

Posttreatment PSA

Posttreatment biomarkers/genomic tests

Biochemical-free survival

Re-treatment free survival

Progression-free survival

Disease specific survival

Overall survival

Posttreatment biopsy information:

Indication for biopsy*

Method of biopsy

Timing of biopsy

Total number of in field/out of field cores obtained

In field positive biopsies

Out of field positive biopsies

In field clinically significant disease†

Out of field clinically significant disease†

Prostate cancer length

Posttreatment MRI information:

Indication for imaging*

Method of imaging

Timing of imaging

Results of imaging

Posttreatment prostate volume

SPARED Registry Delphi Process ~ 5 data categories

Development of a Nationally Representative Coordinated Registry Network for Prostate Ablation Technologies

Outcomes Data – Functional/Safety

- Record
 - Retention
 - UTI
 - Incontinence
 - Stricture
 - Osteomyelitis
 - Fistula
 - Resolution of complication
- Clavien-Dindo Classification score
- HRQOL using same tools as pre-treatment

Functional and Safety Outcomes

Posttreatment HRQOL: timing of administration and results:

IIEF-5

I-PSS

MSHQ-EjD

EPIC-26

Clavien-Dindo classification scale

Specific genitourinary complications:

Urinary retention

Urinary tract infection

Urethral stricture

Urinary incontinence

Osteomyelitis

Rectourethral fistula

Resolution/persistence of adverse events

HEAT Registry Experience

Collaborative effort to collect data from users employing Sonablate device

- Ongoing coordination and discussion of data tools and minimum data requirements
- Recognition of “Legacy” data
 - Data from patients treated from 11/2015 to present
 - May differ from data collected in a prospective fashion
- Prospective Data – to be handled differently – undergoing discussion now

HEAT Registry Experience

Legacy Data:

- **Minimum Core Data**

- **Consensus that data includes:**
 - Age
 - Race
 - Comorbidities
 - Primary or salvage prior treatment
 - Prostate volume
 - Clinical stage
 - Pretreatment biopsy results
 - Pretreatment PSA
 - Pretreatment Gleason score (ISUP Group)
 - Number of cores obtained
 - Number of positive cores
 - Type of biopsy performed
 - Pretreatment MRI results
 - Baseline HRQOL scores – IPSS/SHIM

Outcomes Data - Oncologic

- Post Ablation Imaging
 - MRI
- Post ablation PSA
- **Post ablation biopsy – Not required – record when available**

Outcomes Data – Functional/Safety

- Urinary retention/catheter time
- Complications
- HRQOL – IPSS/SHIM

SPARED Registry

Core Data - or Minimum Data Entry Requirements

- Remain to be defined
- Any data is good data?
- Rules of reporting? –
- Tools/mechanism for ongoing data collection
- IRB/Data sharing agreements



THANK YOU

