

# Inaugural RAPID Phase II/III Research Project

**Jose Pablo Morales, MD**  
FDA Medical Officer, RAPID co-chair  
May 25, 2017

# SFA-Popliteal Evidence Development (SPEED) project



- **Rationale:**

- While application of RAPID to unapproved technologies with greater levels of uncertainty, such as below-the-knee devices, may at first glance seem appealing, the superficial femoral artery (SFA) and proximal popliteal space is much more mature space.
- Therefore, SPEED is ideally suited for evaluating this new registry-based systems as we already have a handle on the basic safety and effectiveness of these devices, allowing the RAPID project to be tested without risk to participating subjects.

# SPEED Rationale



- Although the SFA and popliteal arteries space is a relatively mature research area, there are still many vitally **important regulatory questions** that remain **unanswered**, including:
  - Determination of a contemporary **objective performance criteria (OPC)** that is appropriate for patients with **long and heavily calcified lesions**
  - Assessment of the clinical value of newly approved modalities such as lithoplasty, innovative atherectomy devices, drug coated balloons, and a new generation of stents with novel and unique features
- Also, since many of these devices are used in combination, only a CRN such as RAPID with its potentially large data base could allow effective analysis of subgroups of patients with varying combinations and permutations of devices.
- **SPEED** would allow the FDA and all stakeholders to assess the feasibility of the RAPID project without compromising regulatory science and patient safety.

# SPEED Objectives

- Develop a contemporary OPC using real world evidence (RWE).
- Potential labeling modification (e.g., longer lesions, heavy calcified lesions, diabetic patients).
  - The proposed paradigm is that industry will have access to the conglomerate of data plus their own data
  - Thus, if pre-specified statistical plan is met for a given subgroup analysis population as per examples above, a labeling modification could be requested/granted



*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

# **Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Medical Devices**

---

## **Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff**

*DRAFT GUIDANCE*

**This draft guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.**

**Document issued on July 27, 2016.**

# Sample Size Guestimates



- Estimates derived from the Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI)
  - 14093 cases in the VQI with at least one treated artery = SFA or proximal popliteal from Jul 2015 – Jun 2016
- **SPEED** sample size guestimate:
  - **21000 patients with procedural** (7000 prospective and 14000 retrospective) data and
  - **4900 patients with 1 year follow-up data** (accounting for 30% lost to follow-up)

# Proposed SPEED Timeline



- **May 25, 2017:** Project introduction
- **June – Sept, 2017:** Obtain legal and financial agreements/contracts to allow for multi-stakeholder collaboration (some of these already in place under RAPID phase 1 and may only need an extension)
- **Sept 2017 to March 2018:** Data Collection
  - **Prospective RWE** – Data collection using VQI for **6 months** (Sept 2017 to Mar 2018). Thus, a sample size of approximately **7000 patients** with procedural data will be available for OPC development with the respective subgroup analysis.
  - **Retrospective RWE** – Use data collected from procedures from Sept 2016 to Aug 2017, with 1 year follow-up and UDI information by March 2018. Thus, a sample size of **14000 patients** with procedural data and approximately 7000 patients with 1 year follow-up will be available for OPC development with the respective subgroup analysis. However, since the current 1 year follow-up for VQI is 70%, we estimate that **4900 patients** will have 1 year follow-up.

# Proposed SPEED Timeline



## Data refinement and analyses

- **April – June, 2018:**
  - Data refinement and analyses to develop a contemporary OPC using RWE
  - Data sharing with industry stakeholders for potential labeling modification (e.g., longer lesions, heavy calcified lesions, diabetic patients)
- **July – September, 2018:** Regulatory submission depending on device class (PMA, 510k)

# SPEED: Potential Scenario

- Disclosures:
  - The estimated rates are based on high-level estimates, and will need to be refined based on limited off-label information gathered thus far to help better define sample sizes prospectively
  - We do not plan to power the analyses for safety, so these values are for clinical consideration and interpretation

# Potential subset of indication/labeling expansions for devices under SPEED



Device type	Plain angioplasty	Bare metal stent/ covered stent
	Drug-coated Balloon	Drug-eluting stent
Lesion Characteristics	Long lesions (>140mm)	In-stent restenosis
	Calcification	Chronic Total Occlusion
	Behind the knee (P3)	
Patient Characteristics	Gender	Race
	Diabetes	

# SPEED: Potential Scenario

Endpoint	Event	Estimated Rate (1 yr)	Acceptable Rate (1 yr)	Sample Size (power=90%, alpha=0.025)
Primary Safety	Major Amputations	1%*	<2%*	1539
	Clinically-significant fracture (BTK** stents)	5%*	<10%*	231
Primary Effectiveness	TLR***			
Long lesion		60%*	>50%*	263
Behind-the-knee				
Sever Calcification (based on Rocha-Singh, et al)				
In-stent restenosis				
Chronic total occlusions				

\* General estimate. Detailed estimates for each category will be generated by a summer student based on current SSEDs.

\*\* BTK = Below the knee

\*\*\*TLR = Target lesion revascularization

# SPEED Summary



- Develop a contemporary OPC using RWE
- Potential labeling modification (e.g., longer lesions, heavy calcified lesions)
- Generate good quality data for the treatment of claudication
  - CMS MEDCAC for lower extremity
- Demonstration project for the National Evaluation System for Health Technology (NEST)



**Thank You**